



## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/494,690	01/31/2000	Steven Antosz	C99-879/US/1	3800
75	90 11/26/2003		EXAM	INER
Mark P. Calca	terra		DETWILER	R, BRIAN J
DaimlerChrysle CIMS 483-02-1	r Intellectual Capital Corp 9	poration	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
800 Chrysler Di			2173	19
Auburn Hills, M	MI 48326-2757	•	DATE MAILED: 11/26/2003	<i>, L</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
. Advisory Action	09/494,690	ANTOSZ, STEVEN	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian J Detwiler	2173	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	;
THE REPLY FILED 13 November 2003 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of thing the street of t	is application. A proper reply to the sent which places the application is a timely filed Request for Co	to a on in
<u> </u>	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTH date on which the petition under 3 tension and the corresponding amond statutory period for reply original.	ng date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See M 7 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extended the fee. The appropriate extensionally set in the final Office action; or (2) a	MPEP ension fee on fee under as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>13 November 200</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	_ ,,	•	orth in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	l because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or s	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the applicatio issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simp	olifying the
(d) $\square$ they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding nun	nber of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed an	nendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		en considered but does NOT p	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	pecause it is not directed S	OLELY to issues which were r	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	` ' ' -	•	l an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. $\square$ The drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ a	pproved or b) disappro	ved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten	nent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	14
10. Other:			7//
		IUNI	CABECA
		SUPERVISORY F	PATENT EXAMIN' Y CENTER 2100
		[ECHNOLOG	I CENTEN ZIO

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive for reasons provided in the Final Rejection mailed 14 July 2003. The examiner has provided therein sufficient explanation as to the motivation for combining the relevant teachings of Bush and Petchenkine. The examiner further has provided the location of the claimed perspective template/stencil within the prior art.